

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/738,323	12/16/2003	Richard Boden	IFF-0017	7933	
26259 7	590 05/19/2005		EXAM	INER	
LICATLA & TYRRELL P.C.			GANEY, S	GANEY, STEVEN J	
66 E. MAIN STREET MARLTON, NJ 08053		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>\\ \\ \\</i>			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
<b>A.M. </b>	10/738,323	BODEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Steven J. Ganey	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mained patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	√.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lead of this part of th	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal mat				
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers		,			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/15/04, 4/25/05.		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Orson, Sr.
  Orson, Sr. discloses a dispensing device comprising all the featured elements of the
  instant invention, note active gel co. 8, lines 2-37 and wick col. 6, lines 39-68.
- 3. Claims 1, 3-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wefler et al.

Wefler et al discloses a dispensing device comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note active gel col. 4, line 32 and wick col. 4, lines 54-65.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orson Sr.

Application/Control Number: 10/738,323

Art Unit: 3752

Orson Sr. discloses all the featured elements of the instant invention, except for the specific mixture of the fragrance and thickener and the gel being an insect repellant. Note in Orson, Sr., col. 8, lines 4-12, where it is disclosed that thickeners can be added. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the mixture claimed, since the percentage of thickener to fragrance would be a matter of design choice depending on the type of thickener used and the desired capillary action desired. As to the active gel being an insect repellant, note, col. 7, line 62 thru col. 8, line 2. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the active gel be an insect repellant since such a use is well known in the air fragrance dispensing art and such a mixture would perform equally as well in the apparatus of Orson, Sr.

#### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Majerowski et al and Santini disclose wick type diffusion devices.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3752

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

sjg

5/16/05

STÉVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

5/16/05